

IMPROVING HEALTH AND WELL-BEING: ROLE OF NEIGHBORHOOD-BASED PSYCHO-SOCIAL SUPPORT GROUPS

Leslie Aizenman, Azadeh Masalehdan Block, Mulume Kazimoto, Rup Pokharel, Yesmin Salib

#### **SESSION GOALS**

Participants will be able to describe the origins, goals and current model used in refugee and immigrant peer-led support groups.

Participants will meet peer leaders, gaining insight into leader and group profiles, dynamics and style.

Participants will be able to discuss outcomes for peer-led immigrant support groups and the utility of both quantitative and qualitative evaluation strategies.



ORIGINS, GOALS & MODEL

Balaymond & Dipollomou = Louise
Fascanch Countries & Codellomo = Art & Vareina
Fascanch Countries & Codellomo = Art & Vareina
Fascance Countries
Codellomous Codellomous & Fascance
Alleghamy Countries Codellomous Artificial Codellomous Fascance Artificial Codellomous Codellomous Fascance Fas

PROGRAM BACKGROUND	
* Allegheny County DHS and partners recognized increasing numbers of	
vulnerable foreign born  *Scarcity of mh resources in general & esp. for non-Western populations  *Limited access to insurance for some	
* Barriers to access healthcare & other related barriers	
PROGRAM GOALS	
*Open to refugees/immigrants in transition *Culturally/linguistically appropriate social & emotional support	
*Alleviate isolation  Pre-empt mental health crises	
*Embrace culture & traditions • Groups conducted in native language	
*Empower participants & peer leaders	
MODEL	
Identify bi-lingual peer leaders	
Provide paid training (clinician taught) • Clinical group observations	
Situate groups in convenient locations 8-week sessions (average); leaders paid	
Post group: extend, start new groups, spin off	
Budget for snacks, childcare, bus, space Ongoing leader training	
Research component	

MODEL CONTINUED	
STAFFING & ROLES:	
Peer Role • Participant-recruiter	
<ul> <li>Group facilitator/refers to needed supports</li> </ul>	
* Data collector/reporter Clinical Role	
Trainer Observer	
* Observer  * Consultant	
Staffing • Program admin 50% FTE	
Program manager 5% FTE	
* Clinical supervisor/trainer 5% FTE	_
FUNDING	
Drivery Alleches Court Description of Human Services	
Primary: Allegheny County Department of Human Services	
Additional Funding:  *Staunton Farm Foundation	
Jefferson Regional Foundation	
Pennsylvania State Refugee Office	
PEER LEADER	
TRAINING MODULES	
IKAINING MUDULES	
Support group overview	
Group leader roles/ responsibilities	
Member recruitment	
Paperwork requirements	
Session planning & suggested topics	
Case studies & practice sessions	
Making referrals (ISAC)	
• ,	

PROGRAM EVOLUTION			
I KOOKAM LYOLOTTON			
Administration			
*Leaders			
<ul><li>Programming</li><li>Clinicians</li></ul>			
•Funding			
Research			
POPULATIONS	SERVED		
Bhutanese refugees (ethnic	*Turkish asylum seekers		
Nepali) Burmese and Burmese-Karen	Somali Bantu refugees		
refugees	Sudanese immigrants/refugees Syrian refugees		
<ul><li>Chinese immigrants</li><li>Congolese refugees</li></ul>	Venezuelan asylum seekers		
Ghanaian immigrants			
Iraqi refugees			
Korean immigrants			
Latina immigrants/asylum seekers			
		l	
2015-2019 NU/	MBERS		
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			
August 2015 - July 2016 - 24 groups			
July 2016 – June 2017 – 36 groups; July 2017- June 2018 – 51 groups; 4			
July 2018- June 2019 – 74 groups; 7			
*individuals may participate in more t	han one group session.		

ı	ATIMA	DEED	SUPPORT	CDUILD
L	.AIINA	reek	SUPPUKI	UKUUF



## LATINA PEER SUPPORT GROUP







#### BHUTANESE PEER SUPPORT GROUP



## CONGOLESE GROUP



## CONGOLESE PHOTO EXHIBITION



## TURKISH WOMEN'S GROUP











# GROUP LEADERS: RUP POKHAREL AND MULUME KAZIMOTO



OUTCOMES, EVALUATION & RESEARCH

#### **OUTCOMES EVALUATION**

Initial approach (Quantitative)

- Pre/Post surveys
- Evaluating effectiveness in creating social and community connections
- Ensuring survey questions appropriate to participants; answer necessary questions for JFCS.
- Need component to be added into analysis: qualitative data from stake holders (1: post-survey, 2: group leader feedback eval; 3: clinical observer reporter)

Meet people from my community     Learn about services	2. How do you access the following? Finding a job:    by myself			
□ Become more independent □ Feel better about life in the United States	5. How many people IN PITTSBURGH do you feel comfortable			
Other	talking to about your problems or worries?  □ None □ 1-2 people □ 3 or more people			
	6.How much do you feel a connection and sense of trust with your ethnic community?  □ None □ Some □ A lot			
RESEARCH CHALLENGES				
1. Peer leaders unfamiliar with d	lata collection			
<ul> <li>As a result, data collected group, and administrator to ad</li> </ul>	d varied greatly from group to ministrator			
2. Research methodology unknow				
<ul> <li>Comfortable setting</li> </ul>	search knowledge to peer leaders  h to knowledge acquisition			
<ul> <li>Engage in dialogue</li> </ul>				
<ul> <li>Receive input from peer le</li> <li>4. Trained leaders in research r</li> </ul>				
CHALLENGES TO QUANTI	TATIVE SURVEYS	<u></u>		
Phone numbers –families share pho	nes; seniors rarely have one; numbers			
For non-literate, translators rushed missing data	to do many in one sitting; led to			
• Time intensive; questions individual Fear of surveys, is there a right or				
know? Concerns rooted in previous  Expense of survey administration w	experiences.			
new insights on programming  Main driver for shift to FOCUS GI				

FOCUS GROUPS: QUALITATIVE ANALYSIS	
Focus group to occur once per calendar year per group	
Typically occurs in last 2 weeks of group meetings	
Inclusive of 8-10 participants	
JFCS staff member asks questions in English, 2 interpreters present, one provides translation for questions and the second takes notes as each speaker speaks	
Group is audio-recorded (if permission); transcribed later and	
transcript is analyzed	
QUALITATIVE DATA SOURCES	
Triangulation:	
Peer Leader Feedback forms (long answer)	
2) Clinical Observation (twice per leader per calendar year)	
3) Focus Group Transcripts (once per leader per calendar year)	
Data then imported to Atlas.ti to conduct content analysis and look	
for themes.	
QUALITATIVE ANALYSIS	
Project status: In middle stage of analysis	
Open coding of 111 documents revealed primary themes:	
Community, barriers, education/employment, health, providers and safety	
Focused codes then created for each category and documents have been coded by 4 different reviewers:	
Two have coded transcripts for all focused codes; Two have focused specifically on barriers (focused) codes and	
health.	

CURRENT Q	UALITATI	VE ANA	LYSIS ST	TAGE		
Completed mei	no-writing p	rocess to c	draw concl	usions ab	out all	
focused codes  Early indication						
include weathe groups. •Indicators that						
create mecha						
BROAD AND	FOCUSE	D CODE	S			
COMMUNITY Cultural Assimilation	BARRIERS Family	EDUCATION/ EMPLOYMENT Education for Family	HEALTH Exercise	PROVIDERS ISAC	SAFETY Self Defense	
Support Systems Cultural Accommodation Cultural Differences in US Culture vs Home Culture	Transportation	Finding Employment Sharing Resources Vocational Training Employment	Nutrition Mental Health Yoga Mindfulness	Himilayan Foundation JPCS	Worries/Safety Concerns Racism Crime in Community	
	Over-Relance on PSG  Engaging New Members  Cultural Numers of  Consumerion (CNDC)	Adult Education Secondary Education	Age Specific Concerns			
	Gender Specific Group Dynamics (Openiclosed size) Time Management Interpreter		Physical Health Condition Creative Expression			
	Household Tasks Misunderstandings					
EXAMPLE: B	ARRIERS	— FAMI	ILY COD	E (FOC	USED)	-
Conclusions: (based *Family impacts fun enhances or detract		dividuals in t	erms of grou	p participa	tion (either	-
<ul> <li>Translatable as chespaces that clients and/or transporta</li> </ul>						

 ${}^{\bullet}\text{Childcare}$  remains a critical need, in particular for female participation.

Sometimes peer group leaders were providers of childcare when specific persons designated with that duty did not come to the group.

\*Participants faced barriers in terms of balancing the needs of their family and their work schedule, balancing these things did not always leave consistent time open for group participation.

EXAMPLE: EDUCATION/EMPLOYMENT — FINDING EMPLOYMENT	
Members qualifications/education from home country do not transfer to US and they work lower-skilled jobs once in the US.	
Members discuss working at any job in order to support themselves and difficulty of finding employment in general.	
Groups discuss how to get better jobs and desire more education.	
Overall groups are concerned with employment in general as well as planning for the future and discussing how to work towards better employment (education, experience, learning English).	
•	
EXAMPLE: HEALTH- EXERCISE	
Group focus on exercise and physical movement as an importance aspect of health & wellbeing.	
Leaders instruct members in specific exercises and group time is dedicated to	
exercising as a group.  Participants enjoy and value exercising as a group and request additional	
focus on ways to remain physically healthy.	
Specifically, groups focused on yoga as a specific type and method of exercise.	
Groups engaging in dance and exercise together demonstrate positive	
reactions to the activity, such as laughter and smiling.	
•	
EXAMPLE: PROVIDERS - ISAC	
•	
Group allows for community members to be connected to ISAC that might not otherwise be aware of the program.	
Involvement of the group/leaders allows for a "warm hand off" and potentially deeper understanding of family needs with leader assistance.	
Continued connection to community through leaders allows members to be familiar with ISAC and JFCS should they need assistance.	
ISAC and RISG program compliment each other well, as RISG provides community, culturally appropriate support, and ISAC can provide more	_
involved and continued support of concrete issues.	

EXAMPLE: COMMUNITY - SUPPORT SYSTEMS	
Group functions as an effective way for the community to share resources, discuss issues in community, gain support from members and strengthen connections with each other.	
Members utilize group to share information with newcomers and ease resettlement process.  Leaders also report feelings of isolation, stress, despair, and other difficulties shared by members in group, showcasing the potential therapeutic nature of the group as members feel	
comfortable sharing such feelings.  Members with knowledge of more specific resources are able to share with the group in a more  intensive way than the leader's ability. Group effectiveness increases as alumni members	
continue to attend sessions and bring along new members/newcomers.  The groups function well in conjunction/coordination with outside providers and may serve as a culturally appropriate conduit for members to become connected with necessary services.	
REFERENCES	
Cook, C. (2010), Mode of administration bias. The Journal of Manual & Manipulative Therapy 18(2), 61-63, doi: 10.1179/106698110X12460/407017017017  Capertino, A. P., Soint-Elin, M., de los Rios, J. B., Engelmon, K. K., Greiner, K. A., Elletheck, E. F., & Nópoles, A. M. (2015). Empowering Promotores de Salud as partners in concer education and research in rural southwest Kansas. Oncology Nursing Forum, 42(1), 15-22. doi:10.1188/15.05.NNIS-52	
In cancer education and résearch in rural southwest Kansas. Oncology Nursing Forum, 42(1), 15-22. doin 10.1188/1.50.NNI.5-22  How to Conduct a Survey - Personal or self-administered approach! (2016, September 12) retrieved from https://explorable.com/how-to-conduct-asurvey	
Rubin, A., & Babbie, E. R. (2017). Research methods for social work. Belmont, CA: Thomson/Brooks/Cole.	
The Role of Community-Based Participatory Research (2016, September 12) retrieved from https://archive.ahrq.gov/research/cbprrole.htm	
PUBLICATIONS & PRESENTATIONS	
Block, A.M., Aizemman, L., Sand, A., Harrison, S., Sloan, A., Vecchio, S. & Wilson, V. (2018) Peer support organs: Evaluating a cultural ing residued, strength-based approach for work with refugees, Advances in Social Work Practice, 18(3), 930-948.  Block, A.M. (2019) Month) Program Evaluation Methodology for Community Based Social Work Services with Refugee Populations, Pager presented at the Annual Meeting, Baccalaureate Program Director's Meeting, March 16, 2019, Joschornille, El.	
Block, A.M. & Aizenman, L. (2017, September) Integrating Research Evaluation in Community-Based Groupwork, Paper presented at the Annual Meeting, National Association of Social Workers-Pennsylvania	
Block, A.M. & Aizenman, L. (2017, June) Program Evaluation Training for Refugee Peer Support Groups, Paper presented at the Annual Meeting, International Association of Social Work with Groups, June 8, 2017, New York, NY.	
Block, A.M., Aizemon, L. S. Galin, I. (2016, Comber) Group-rook for Record Immigrants & Befrigees. Paper presented of the Annual Meeting, Nethonal Association of Social Workers-Pennylvania Chapter, October 6, 2016, King of Prussio, P.M. (2016, Lings) Peer Support Group Model for Record Immigrants and Refugees. Paper presented on the Annual Meeting, International Association of Social Work with Groups, June 16, 2016, New York, NY.	
16, 2016, New York, NY.	

